SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

,	TOTAL CONTROL OF THE REVI	SKOL OF THE FORMS,		DESCRIPTION A NOT	10						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS							
JEFFRY L. HOBSON				NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant							
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE							
Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire				LAND INVOLVED.							
Kimmel & Slilverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike				Attorneys (If Known)							
Ambler, PA 19002											
(215) 540-8888	**************************************	······	0								
II. BASIS OF JURISE	OICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	III. CI	ITIZENSHIP OF		RINC	CIPA	AL PARTIES(			
□ 1 U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Onl	ly) PT	F D	EF		and One Box	for Defend. PTF	ant) DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	□	1 (	<b>J</b> 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In This		□ 4	□ 4
2 U.S. Government	Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	♂	2 [	<b>J</b> 2	Incorporated and P		<b>□</b> 5	<b>5</b>
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)						of Business In A	Another State		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	۵	3 (	J 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	D 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box O	nly) ETS	9 957	-11100000000000000000000000000000000000	New Color	\$1760.Fee Marie			li de la companya de		
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		OREGITURE/PENALT 10 Agriculture	e <b>g</b> ectivit			eal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State P		
120 Marine	□ 310 Airplane	☐ 362 Personal Injury	- 🗇 62	20 Other Food & Drug		<b>3</b> 423	With	drawal	☐ 410 Antitru	ıst	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractic  365 Personal Injury		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88	21		28 U	SC 157	☐ 430 Banks ☐ 450 Comm		ng
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	y 🗇 63	30 Liquor Laws				RINGHUS	☐ 460 Deport	tation	
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Person Injury Product		40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs.		<ul><li>□ 820</li><li>□ 830</li></ul>			470 Racket	teer Influen it Organiza	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability	□ 66	60 Occupational		☐ 840			28 480 Consu		
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 90 Other					490 Cable/		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending		LABOR		SO	CIAI	ASTROURIUS	850 Securi		
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal		10 Fair Labor Standards				(1395ff)	Exchar	nge	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Act 20 Labor/Mgmt, Relation	s			k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	875 Custor	ner Challer C 3410	nge
-	☐ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability		30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	g	☐ 864	SSIE	Title XVI	5 890 Other		
☐ 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 0 74	& Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act				(405(g)) AL TAX SUITS	☐ 891 Agricu ☐ 892 Econor		
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting	510 Motions to Vaca	te 🗂 79	90 Other Labor Litigation			Taxe	s (U.S. Plaintiff	C 893 Environ	onmental M	1atters
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence Habeas Corpus:	D 79	91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		CT 871		efendant) Third Party	<ul> <li>□ 894 Energy</li> <li>□ 895 Freedo</li> </ul>		
☐ 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	□ 530 General		<u> </u>		,,		SC 7609	Act		
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	<ul> <li>535 Death Penalty</li> <li>540 Mandamus &amp; Ot</li> </ul>		IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Applica					900Appeal	of Fee Det Equal Acce	
D 200 All Odici Real Tropolty	Employment	550 Civil Rights		53 Habeas Corpus -	nion.				to Justi		Coo
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee 55 Other Immigration					950 Consti		of
	440 Other Civil Rights			Actions					State 3	tatutes	
以 1 Original □ 2 Re				istated of the same	ansf	erred f	rom	□ 6 Multidistr	ict 🛮 7	Appeal to Judge fro Magistra	m
Proceeding St		Appellate Court tute under which you a ION 1692			oecif iona		ites u	Litigation nless diversity):		Judgmen	<u>t</u>
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of ca										
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTIO	N D	EMAND \$			(	CHECK YES only	if demanded i	n complai	int:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	23					J	URY DEMAND:	<b>⊉</b> Yes	🗇 No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DC	CKI	ET NUMBER			
Explanation:		***************************************									<del></del>
2/16/21	NΛ	/s/ Craig The	or Kimm	nel							
DATE	/19	SIGNATURE O	F ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD	)						
		220.4110100									

## Case 2:10-cv-01135-CDJ Document 1 Filed 03/16/10 Page 2 of 13

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of					
Address of Plaintiff: 1382 S. Steete Road 13, Wabash, IN 416992						
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Road, Hersham, PA						
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 7382 S. State Road 13, Walkash, IN 46992						
(Use Reverse Side For.						
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?					
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)						
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No风					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y						
2. Does this ages involve the same issue of fact or over the fall.	Yes□ No 🔀					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated					
	Yes No X					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier						
terminated action in this court?	Yes No DY					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	ts case filed by the same individual?					
	Yes No No					
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)						
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1.  ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts					
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury					
3. ☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation					
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury					
5. Patent	5.   Motor Vehicle Personal Injury					
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. D Other Personal Injury (Please					
7 D Chil Dista	specify)					
7. Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability					
8.  Habeas Corpus	8.   Products Liability — Asbestos					
9.  Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases					
10. D Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)					
11. X All other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S.C. § 1692 (Please specify)						
ARBITRATION CERT	IFICATION					
I. Crarg Ther Kmme!, counsel of record do hereby certi-	ategory)					
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of					
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	,					
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.						
DATE: 3/15/2010 Crarg Thur Kimmel	57100					
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#						
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	re has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court					
DATE: 3/15/2010 Craig They Kimme!	57100					
Attorney-at-Law CIV. 609 (6/08)	Attorney I.D.#					
OLI, 002 (0100)						

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Jeffry L. Hobs	ion :	CIVIL ACTION				
V.	: :					
NCO Financial Systems, Inc. No.						
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.						
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MANAGEN	MENT TRACKS:				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ( )						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into tracks (a complex and that need special or side of this form for a detailed exp	intense management by				
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into any on	e of the other tracks.				
3/15/2010 Date (215) 540-8888	Craig Ther Kimmel Attorney-at-law (215) 540-8817	Jeffry L. Hubson Actorney for Kmmel@creditlaw.com	)			
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

)
{
Case No.:
COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

## **COMPLAINT**

JEFFRY L. HOBSON ("Plaintiff"), by his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., ("Defendant"):

#### INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant conducts business and has an office in the State of Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).
- Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 5. 2202.

#### **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff is a natural person residing at 7382 S. State Road 13 in 6. Wabash, Indiana, 46992.
- Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 7. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- Defendant is a national debt collection company with corporate 8. headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania.
- Defendant is a "debt collector," as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 9. 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff when seeking to collect a consumer debt of another person.
- Defendant considered Plaintiff to be a "consumer" as that term is 10. defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, 11. directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

12. Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is Fair comprehensive statute which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with

the collection of debts by third parties. <u>See</u> 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*. The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.

- 13. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 14. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that "[t]here is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number

of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.

15. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 15. Defendant and others it retained began on or about January 29, 2010, through February 12, 2010, continuously placing harassing and abusive collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt of another person, specifically a Michael Bullard.
- 16. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 17. Defendant and others it retained placed repeated calls to Plaintiff's home telephone.
- 18. On January 29, 2010, Defendant contacted Plaintiff and requested his Capital One account number.
- 19. Plaintiff informed Defendant that he did not have a Capital One credit card.
  - 20. Defendant identified the debtor as Michael Bullard ("Mr. Bullard").

- 21. Defendant inquired whether Mr. Bullard lived at Plaintiff's address.
- 22. Plaintiff informed Defendant that Mr. Bullard did not live at his address.
- 23. Further, Plaintiff informed Defendant that he had worked with Mr. Bullard about fifteen (15) years ago and did not have any contact information for him.
- 24. Plaintiff demanded that calls stop immediately and instructed Defendant to remove his phone number from their computer database.
- 25. Defendant informed Plaintiff that it would remove his phone number from its computer database.
- 26. However, Defendant disregarded his instructions and continued calling him in its attempts to collect a debt owed by Mr. Bullard.
- 27. Defendant contacted Plaintiff on February 1, 2010; February 2, 2010; February 3, 2010; and February 4, 2010 (two calls).
- 28. Each time Plaintiff demanded that the calls stop and instructed Defendant to remove his phone number from their computer database.
- 29. Additionally, on or about February 3, 2010, Plaintiff sent Defendant an email instructing it to cease contacting him regarding the debt alleged to be owed by Mr. Bullard.
- 30. On February 9, 2010, Defendant sent correspondence to Plaintiff acknowledging his "recent communication," and seeking to obtain his personal information, including his social security number, as well as information on the account allegedly belonging to Mr. Bullard, before it would act on his request to cease contacting him. See Exhibit A, the February 9, 2010, correspondence from Defendant.

- 31. To date, Defendant has not sent written notification to Plaintiff informing him of his rights as required by 1692g(a) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).
- 32. The repetitive calls to Plaintiff were disturbing, harassing, an invasion of privacy and made Plaintiff feel wary about answering the telephone for any number he did not recognize.

#### CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

- 33. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. <u>Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand</u>, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." <u>Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.</u>, 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); <u>see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp.</u>, 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); <u>Clomon v. Jackson</u>, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 34. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).

19

22

23 24

25

35. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public - that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

## COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES $\mathbf{ACT}$

- 36. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Defendant violated of the FDCPA generally.
  - b. Defendant violated § 1692b(2) of the FDCPA by informing Plaintiff that Mr. Bullard owed a debt.
  - c. Defendant violated § 1692(b)(3) of the FDCPA by calling Plaintiff more than once in connection for the collection of a debt for another individual.
  - d. Defendant violated § 1692c(b) of the FDCPA by communicating

with Plaintiff about a debt allegedly owed by Mr. Bullard.

- e. Defendant violated § 1692d of the FDCPA by harassing Plaintiff in connection with the collection of an alleged debt.
- f. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring and engaging Plaintiff in telephone conversations repeatedly and continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, and harass Plaintiff.
- g. Defendant violated § 1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means with Plaintiff to collect or attempt to collect a debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JEFFRY L. HOBSON, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, JEFFRY L. HOBSON, demands a jury trial in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

DATED: March 15, 2010 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C..

By: /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel
Craig Thor Kimmel
Attorney ID # 57100
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
30 E. Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (215) 540-8817

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com

NCO Financial Systems, Inc.

507 Prudential Road Horsham, PA 19044 1-866-305-9426

Office Hours: 8:00 a.m. - 9:00 p.m. Monday through Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 12:00 noon Saturday

February 9, 2010

Jeff Hobson 7382 S State Road 13 Wabash, IN 46992

#### Dear Jeff Hobson:

Thank you for your recent communication. I can assure you that we are committed to assisting you; however, we have been unable to locate the matter you have referenced from the information we have been provided. Please provide us with further identifying information such as your social security number, the name of the original creditor, the creditor's account number and/or reference number and the billing address of the account. A copy of any correspondence you may have received from us would also be of assistance. Kindly contact me at the above address with this information.

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation regarding this request.

Very truly yours,

Kenia Long Compliance Resolution Administrator

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This is a communication from a debt collector.

Calls to or from NCO Financial Systems, Inc. may be monitored or recorded for quality assurance.

